

**Outcome of consultation on a proposal to establish a permanent satellite site for Broomfield SILC's post-16 provision at the Arlington Business Centre, White Rose Park, LS11**

**Representations received during initial consultation (1st – 28<sup>th</sup> July 2024)**

<b>Response no.</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Comments</b>
1	Strongly Support	There is a lack of places for post-16 children to access that are not mainstream based
2	Strongly Support	as previous answer
3	Strongly Support	Not enough provision in Leeds
4	Strongly Support	-
5	Strongly Support	It's wonderful that leeds is finally looking to help it's SEND community and expanding their opportunities
6	Strongly Support	There aren't enough quality post 16 years places in Leeds for send learners.
7	Strongly Support	Because we need more quality post 16 provision across the city and separate sites reinforce the "moving on" young people should feel about post 16
8	Strongly Support	providing a space post 16 where students can learn independence and accessing the job market can improve life chances and wellbeing.
9	Strongly Support	More specialist Post-16 settings are needed.
10	Strongly Support	Lack of provision
11	Neither Support or Oppose	-
12	Somewhat Support	I want information around Post 16 - is this 16 to 19 or 16 to 25?
13	Strongly Support	see previous response.
14	Strongly Support	Already an excellent school so extending is the way forward to support development of children.
15	Strongly Support	-
16	Strongly Support	There is a lack of places in Leeds pre and Post 16. As a result students have to 'fail' in mainstream before they can access a place that can meet their needs.
17	Somewhat Support	-
18	Strongly Support	we have a strong need for this within the area
19	Strongly Support	Feel this is a great proposal as my child attends [REDACTED] and year 2025 will be going to post 16 and options of post 16 middleton site broomfield was not really a option as feel not needed , felt going backwards in learning ability as he more formal learner - was so pleased when had a meeting with school around consultation for this option and good for learning social skills as getting older rather than been in a setting with railings around and full enclosed for reasons but my child does not this option - looking forward to it opening to view
20	Strongly Support	More specialist places are needed for children with SEN
21	Strongly Support	-
22	Strongly Support	-
23	Strongly Support	Leeds needs more post 16 SEND places
24	Strongly Support	It gives young people the transition most of their mainstream peers experience and will allow for a specialised provision focusing on preparing for adulthood
25	Strongly Support	More spaces needed

26	Strongly Support	-
27	Strongly Support	-
28	Strongly Support	Great opportunity for the post 16 pupils
29	Strongly Support	This will make more send places available for children who need them
30	Strongly Support	This will support Young adults with sen needs to experience, work experience more freedom in a grown up setting which will support them to reach full potential in their future path ways and allow them to achieve the best possible outcomes.
31	Strongly Support	For support of post 16 learners in the right environment
32	Strongly Support	Independence for those students who are older and good career connections and opportunities to promote local business and work with them showing an inclusive approach to the working life
33	Strongly Support	It will build independence due the past 16 students and will free up space
34	Strongly Oppose	I think a school should be all in one place and not split across separate sites It will like they're not part of the same school
35	Strongly Support	This is a great opportunity for post 16 to become independent and explore their community safely with a provision that provides opportunities
36	Strongly Support	The post 16 provision currently in place at Broomfield is not adequate to meet the needs of the students, so a new provision for these students is desperately needed.
37	Strongly Support	-
38	Strongly Support	I believe that this will give them more independence and more freedom. I believe that moving those away from the ones who need alot more attention and support would be beneficial.
39	Strongly Support	It would be local to white rose creating more opportunities for the students.
40	Strongly Support	This would allow the expansion of the current Broomfield site
41	Strongly Support	-
42	Neither Support or Oppose	As long as it doesn't affect the local area of Is10
43	Strongly Oppose	-
44	Strongly Support	Huge improvement on the ability to expand the curriculum and prepare the learners for adulthood
45	Strongly Support	If facilities are good then a less school based setting for post 16 will support CYP to be ready for the world after education. It would be great to think that partnerships could be formed with the retail, business and leisure sectors at white rose to create new opportunities. Sunshine and Smiles set up a successful cafe run by people with LD and there are a number of empty units at white Rose at present.
46	Somewhat Support	Could link with students at Elliott Hudson as needs allow for social gains.
47	Strongly Support	I totally agree with this opportunity for them as they need to have this experience. They will have the opportunity to be more independent give them a sense of self and will hopefully help them get where they need to go. At the moment the placement that there at holds them back and doesn't give them the real feel of college and adult life. I understand it is not the best place for more complex needs but for the ones that are able wot a wonderful opportunity
48	Neither Support or Oppose	-
49	Strongly Support	If they get transported there and they get the support they need
50	Strongly Support	Older children with additional needs, need a different type of support, with help of basic life skills and learning independence which is appropriate to their to their abilities
51	Strongly Support	Real world experience for both students and public.

52	Strongly Support	Giving students who need extra support after primary & secondary school, due to disabilities, is vital. Giving all young adults the opportunity to carry on their education should be a priority for LCC and its residents. Equity matters.
53	Strongly Support	-
54	Strongly Support	To continue to support those who need services enabling them the best starts in life
55	Strongly Support	All post 16 students deserve the correct facilities to learn in and these can be met at the new proposed provision.
56	Neither Support or Oppose	-
57	Strongly Support	See previous comments
58	Strongly Support	as previously stated to provide the provision that is needed
59	Strongly Support	-
60	Strongly Support	There is a distinct lack of suitable post-16 provision for young people with SEND. Therefore, I welcome this proposal and hope the provision will provide a rich, diverse and high aspirational curriculum for young people with SEND in Leeds.
61	Somewhat Support	Access is better- and this should become the main site- instead of Broomfield
62	Neither Support or Oppose	-
63	Neither Support or Oppose	I would like an acceptable time and date to accommodate the working people of the area that cannot just take time off work at a days notice to discuss your proposals.
64	Neither Support or Oppose	I think the residents of the area need to be more thought about, instead of just thinking how education can improve. Local residents mental health will be affected by the months and possibly year of noise and lack of sleep. Quality of life will decrease greatly due to the lack of sleep etc. I think our green space needs to stop being taken. It will force people out of the area if we have buildings on every inch of land
65	Neither Support or Oppose	The consultation period f2f was kept quiet and the teams call equally so. I will be working so can't attend conveniently. This will cause a lot of issues for residents during construction and on completion with disrespectful parents with children
66	Somewhat Support	I broadly support the proposal to create a post 16 site but I am concerned that the satellite site doesn't consider the needs of pupils with physical and medical needs who rely on wheelchairs and other specialist equipment and facilities. What facilities will the satellite site have? There is a lack of detail around the proposal which makes it hard to feedback on. Without reliable wheelchair accessible public transport, this new site would be impossible to access for some pupils especially considering post 16 SEND transport may not be provided, as is also proposed.
67	Strongly Support	It will help children with post 16 opportunities.
68	Strongly Support	Central location
69	Strongly Support	As previously said! No provision
70	Strongly Support	The sen children 1000% deserve better school education
71	Strongly Support	-
72	Strongly Support	To support those older children that need the specialist provision that can't go out into normal education.
73	Strongly Support	More spaces needed for SEN children.
74	Strongly Support	Helps more children in need
75	Strongly Support	As mentioned previously, having a designated provision for post 16 learners would be wonderful because it would eliminate stress in mainstream post 16 providers and give the learners proper support that they deserve.
76	Strongly Support	-
77	Strongly Support	People don't stop needing support once they turn 16. This provision would benefit so many young people.
78	Strongly Support	There were very few options when my <span style="background-color: black; color: black;">XXXXXXXX</span> needed Post 16 SEND provision so this additional option is greatly needed.

79	Strongly Support	-
80	Strongly Support	There is a severe lack of support for children who 'outgrow' school settings but still require additional help.
81	Strongly Support	-
82	Strongly Oppose	As before, this provision already exists. There isn't anything for children who can't attend mainstream but are capable of doing post 16 qualifications.
83	Strongly Oppose	<p>As a parent of [REDACTED] at Broomfield, I strongly oppose the option of using part of a shopping centre for expanding our specialist school. Here are the main reasons:</p> <p>Firstly, shopping centres are inherently full of sensory triggers that would be extremely challenging for our children. The bright lights, loud noises, and constant movement of shoppers create an environment that is far from ideal for students with sensory processing issues. Our children need a calm, controlled setting tailored to their needs, which Broomfield already provides effectively.</p> <p>Additionally, the location of the shopping centre is out of the way and lacks convenient public transport links. This poses a significant problem for our families, especially those with severely disabled children. Navigating public transport is already a challenge, and adding a longer, more complicated journey would make daily commutes even more stressful and time-consuming. The current location of Broomfield is much more accessible and central for our community.</p> <p>Moreover, Broomfield is a place where our children feel safe and supported. The school's environment is specifically designed to meet their unique needs, with sensory-friendly spaces and specialized staff. Moving to a part of a shopping centre, where they would be exposed to the bustling activity of shoppers, would disrupt this sense of security and stability. It would be a stark contrast to the carefully curated environment they are used to.</p> <p>Expanding the existing Broomfield school ensures that we can provide consistent and uninterrupted support for a wider age range of students. Our children can grow and transition within a familiar setting, maintaining relationships with peers and staff who understand their individual needs. This continuity is crucial for their development and well-being.</p> <p>Financially, it also makes more sense to expand an existing, purpose-built facility. Retrofitting a commercial space to meet the specialized requirements of our children would be a costly and likely imperfect solution. Broomfield already has the necessary infrastructure, making expansion a more efficient and effective option.</p> <p>In conclusion, expanding Broomfield by 100 places is the most practical and beneficial solution. It provides a stable, supportive environment, ensures better accessibility, and leverages existing resources. The shopping centre option, with its sensory challenges, inconvenient location, and unsuitable environment, is simply not a viable alternative for our children's needs.</p>
84	Somewhat support	Not completely sure a change of setting would be beneficial to children who often don't cope well with change.
85	Strongly Support	because it will be ideal for my [REDACTED] to attend in the future, [REDACTED] now and a pupil at Broomfield.
86	Strongly Support	Young people at 16 have the right to access a college type environment rather than continue in the same school based setting that they have been at -possibly since reception
87	Strongly Support	This is needed for older children struggling in the education system
88	Strongly Support	-
89	Neither support or oppose	I think having the site near the white rose centre may be difficult due to traffic at peak times especially as there is also another college adjacent and works for train station etc which again will be bringing more people into the area. I think South Leeds is a great area but maybe would suggest somewhere less busy for your students. I.e top of geldard /gildersome spur
90	Strongly Support	Again not enough SEN support for young people
91	Strongly Support	More help for children needing additional support.
92	Strongly Support	More places needed for post 16 specialist

93	Strongly Oppose	Businesses in the centre are already suffering due to student behaviour. More students would mean more traffic on a congested site as well as more irresponsible behaviour.
94	Strongly Support	It will give the young people a sense of moving on
95	Strongly Support	Education does not stop ar 16